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Abstract — One does not have to teach retailing or supply chain management to be aware of the immense changes taking place in retailing. Indeed, the speed at which brick and mortar retailers were folding before the pandemic has only quickened given the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic across the economy. Staying abreast of the retailers and suppliers going out of business has become a challenge. At the same time, educating retailing and supply chain management students on the current and developing market realities in an interesting and educational manner has proven difficult. This article describes a game that can accomplish the goal of providing a meaningful, critical-thinking exercise for classroom use that will elicit student discussion and classroom satisfaction.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The recent past has been tumultuous in retailing. In the last ten years, thousands of stores have shuttered. Before the end of 2019 and before the pandemic began in earnest, major retailers were seemingly announcing closures on a weekly basis. In 2017 alone, over 1,000 stores closed. This trend has been labelled as nothing less than a “tidal wave” movement toward digital and away from brick and mortar stores that has been occurring. And the wave may only grow in intensity, as it has been projected that 75,000 more stores may close by 2026 should online retailing increase its share of retail sales from its current 16% to 25% [1].

Of course, as stores close, jobs are lost. Indeed, estimates are that more than 1 million jobs in retailing have been lost since 2010 [2]. Brick and mortar closings are a reality today, and they will likely continue for the foreseeable future. Direct to consumer offerings and fast fashion brands are bypassing brick and mortar stores in the channel of distribution while appeasing customers, and of course, Amazon has broadened and expanded its reach across retailing [3]. As a result of its efforts, Amazon’s stock price has increased over 75% from November 11, 2019 to November 11, 2020. Wal-Mart, which responded better digitally to the pandemic than many stores, saw an increase in stock price of approximately 24% over the same period. The retail apocalypse of stores who are betting only on brick and mortar may continue.

So, what does this mean for students in supply chain management and marketing? They need to be aware of which stores are closing, which are surviving, and which are thriving and contemplate on the reason some are thriving. To generate an interest in the retail apocalypse and spur discussion and critical thinking among students, the authors recommend the following class exercise.

Students are aware in general of the move towards digital retailing. Indeed, they often drive it. However, while some recognize the growth of digital sales, many are unaware they are experiencing the downside of the apocalypse in their hometowns. This exercise asks students to identify the year that specific retailers went out of business and the products these businesses sold. For some, the products sold (market) will be easy, for others, not so easy. The year of closure is often a surprise. Indeed, the retailers in this list have all closed in the past 5 years though students are not told that in advance. We utilize a timeline developed by CB Insights [4] highlighting the year of retailers’ closure or the year bankruptcy petitions were filed.

II. THE EXERCISE

We’ve all read about the “Retail Apocalypse.” In fact, we’ve all experienced it. Long-time favorite stores might have been here yesterday and literally be gone today (or at least, gone after the ritual “EVERYTHING MUST GO!” liquidation sale).

While the Retail Apocalypse label has stuck as an unfortunate, if unintentional bit of marketing wordsmithing, it is not in truth an entirely accurate identifier of what is taking place in the world of physical retailing today. Rather than a single apocalyptic event - think the business equivalent of a giant meteor or volcanic eruption, the Retail Apocalypse has, in truth, been a slow-rolling, “long march”-type event for many retail companies. While there is not a real start date to the Retail Apocalypse, there is also not a foreseeable end date to what is happening either. In fact, it would appear that the events of 2020 – a pandemic induced economic downturn in which consumers have radically shifted their shopping to online and hybrid online shopping-store pickup or delivery models - has only accelerated the pace of the Retail Apocalypse. In fact, recent research from the analyst firm eMarketer indicates 2020 has ushered in several years’ worth of acceleration in the trend toward consumer preference and comfort with online retail shopping [5]. So, as we see one more news story about another retail name declaring bankruptcy and shuttering most or all of its stores, the names and dates tend to blur together. We tend to think of the Retail Apocalypse in the discrete manner that the moniker implies, rather than a long - and sadly still ongoing - event that is reshaping much of the way people shop, work and indeed live in this modern
And so, with that said, the analyst firm, CB Insights developed a timeline of just how the Retail Apocalypse unfolded - charting the bankruptcies in the retail sector - company by company, month by month – graphically depicting the fall of many of retailing’s traditional giants and even its upstart firms that fell victim to the changes in the way we shop [4]. The CB Insights report goes into some detail on each of the 117 firms covered in its research, giving background on the retail firm, what went wrong, and where it is - if anywhere - today.

Appreciating the richness of the database that CB Insights’ research produced led us to take their findings and “remix” a part of it as a classroom-based critical thinking exercise. Basically, the exercise is to be utilized as the basis of a fun and engaging classroom exercise for business education in classes on topics including Business Strategy, Marketing, Retailing, Supply Chain Management and Advertising. However, this exercise could just as easily be used as the basis for a parlor game with family, friends, or even coworkers. In either the classroom or the social setting, the challenge will be to play what is, in essence, a “game” - based on personal knowledge and recollection of stores as an American customer – as to when a particular retail company went out of business (just do not use your phone to Google the company!). The challenge is simply this - to remember (guess) the year – each company went bankrupt. And then for extra credit – or to make the task/game even more interesting, players can add another level of difficulty. This second challenge then is to try and remember (yes, it is in essence a trivia game!) for each company the nature of that retail or in other words, what was their main product line(s)?

So, for both educational and perhaps even social purposes, the game concerns 21 of the retailers CB Insights included. By using only 21, the game allows for a classroom discussion to take place within the confines of one class period. While CB Insights included retail suppliers and retailers, some of whom had not closed all of their stores, the ones in this list comprise stores which announced they had closed their brick and mortar locations, intended to close them, seek out a buyer, sell its branded merchandise in other venues, or continue as a digital-online operation, with the exception of Bookstroke that kept some airport locations open [6]. Like Stage, some may be looking or hoping to find a buyer that can rescue it and breathe in new life [7]. The idea that any of these firms are gone forever may be similar to the often, misquoted statement from Mark Twain, who said, “the report of my death was an exaggeration” [8]. So, while many in this list may be gone forever, some may be resurrected as a brick and mortar entity, a brand sold by another retailer, or as a digital-only retailer.

This engaging exercise/game should provoke interesting discussion and critical thinking in the classroom. Perceived reasons for closure by the students themselves will provoke critical thinking about market relevance, target market satisfaction and trends in retailing. This is precisely because in the past almost six years of the Retail Apocalypse, many familiar retailers and retail products have gone away. Thus, “playing the game” should provoke discussion among students, as well as bring about nostalgic memories for companies and stores, along with the surprising context for exactly when the retailer declared bankruptcy (and even multiple attempts seeking legal bankruptcy protection by many).

So, to begin, here is the alphabetical list of companies: Do not reveal the dates to students. Each listing is followed by a reference to an article that is relevant to the store listed as to that chain’s specific demise.

7. Fred’s - 2019 [16] [10]
9. Hancock Fabrics - 2019 [18]
12. Lord & Taylor - 2020 [22] [23]
16. Pier 1 Imports – 2020 [26]
18. Stage Stores - 2020 [7] [27]
20. Vanity - 2017 [29]

And to ease your use of this list in the classroom setting, here is a “blank” alphabetical listing of the companies for your students to use in choosing the critical year involved for each company:

1. A&P
2. Avenue
3. Brookstone
4. Cache’
5. Charming Charlie
6. Charlotte Russe
7. Fred’s
8. Gymboree
9. Hancock Fabrics
10. Joyce Leslie
11. The Limited
12. Lord & Taylor
13. New York & Company
14. Nine West Holdings
15. Payless
16. Pier 1 Imports
17. Sports Authority
18. Stage Stores
19. Toys R Us
20. Vanity
21. Wet Seal.

III. CONCLUSION

So how did your students do? What reasons did your students give for these stores’ demise? Are any of these stores missed by your students? Hopefully, the pandemic’s impact on brick and mortar stores will be brief, and the retail
apocalypse will soon be only a memory. In the meantime, hopefully this will be a good learning experience and your students can take away lessons as to how to survive the next major change in the retail environment [31].
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